Early Stress Is Associated with Alterations in the Orbitofrontal Cortex: A Tensor-Based Morphometry Investigation of Brain Structure and Behavioral Risk
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Individuals who experience early adversity, such as child maltreatment, are at heightened risk for a broad array of social and health difficulties. However, little is known about how this behavioral risk is instantiated in the brain. Here we examine a neurobiological contribution to individual differences in human behavior using methodology appropriate for use with pediatric populations paired with an in-depth measure of social behavior. We show that alterations in the orbitofrontal cortex among individuals who experienced physical abuse are related to social difficulties. These data suggest a biological mechanism linking early social learning to later behavioral outcomes.

Introduction

Individuals who suffer early adversity, such as child maltreatment, are at heightened risk for a variety of behavioral, social, and health problems throughout their lives (Cicchetti and Carlson, 1989). This occurrence of early stress raises complex issues about human developmental biology and represents the classic problem of how early experiences influence the nature and trajectory of brain development. Here we examine the effects of early adverse parental care on a brain system responsible for regulation of social behavior in human children.

Little is understood about the neurobiological mechanisms underlying the broad range of difficulties observed among individuals who experienced early child abuse (Gilbert et al., 2009). We focused our examination on development of the orbitofrontal cortex (oFC) in these children because this brain region is central to emotion and social regulation (Damasio, 1994; Rempel-Clower, 2007) and when disrupted, confers an increased vulnerability for the development of mental health problems such as depression or substance abuse (Paulus, 2007). Functional neuroimaging studies point to this orbital sector of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) as supporting behaviors such as inhibition, appropriate responses to other people’s moods, and self-regulation of social—emotional behavior (Bachevalier and Loveland, 2006).

Longitudinal neuroimaging studies suggest that the oFC is one of the last regions in the brain to fully develop in humans. The oFC may therefore be particularly vulnerable to the effects of early stress, as changes in the oFC are seen until 20 years of age or later (Toga et al., 2006). Nonhuman animal models suggest that early stress is associated with alterations in portions of the PFC (Braun et al., 2000). As an example, infant rodents had lower spine densities and shorter apical dendrites in the orbitofrontal cortex when separated from one of their parents (Helmeke et al., 2009). Similar alterations in the PFC have also been noted in rhesus macaques, separated in infancy from their mothers at 2 months of age, with decreases noted in white matter in the PFC compared with control-comparison monkeys (Sánchez et al., 1998).

We assessed the relationship between development of the oFC and the social functioning of children who were verified victims of physical abuse by using a tensor-based morphometry (TBM) framework (Chung et al., 2001). TBM uses the Jacobian determinant of the deformation field that is required to register one brain to another to detect volumetric changes. We also evaluated and controlled for pubertal stage, which may be affected by early adversity (Ellis and Garber, 2000), thereby influencing brain development (Peper et al., 2009).

We hypothesized that the orbitofrontal cortex would be smaller in children who had experienced prolonged maltreatment and, since this region is important for behavioral and emotional flexibility, these alterations would be predictive of children’s adaptive social behavior. To test this, we assessed each child’s competence in stress-
jective impact of stressful events as well as the child’s subjective experience of the event.

Materials and Methods

Participants. Seventy-two children (31 physically abused, 41 nonabused) participated in this study. Physically abused children and their families were recruited by letters forwarded by the Department of Human Services in Dane County, WI, to families with substantiated cases of physical maltreatment. Nonabused children were recruited from the Madison community by posting fliers. Parents completed the Parent–Child Conflict Tactics Scale (PC-CTS) (Straus et al., 1998), a 20-item measure of the frequency with which a parent has performed specific acts of physical aggression toward the child. To qualify as controls in the present study, children were required to have scores <12 on the PC-CTS. Children whose parents scored at least 20 on the physical abuse subscale on the PC-CTS and/or had substantiated cases of physical abuse on record with the Dane County Department of Human Services were classified as abused.

Groups were similar on demographic variables (for additional information, see supplemental Materials, available at www.jneurosci.org). Physically abused subjects had smaller overall whole-brain volumes (physically abused: 1387.57 ± 134.26 cm³; typically developing: 1466.98 ± 121.09 cm³; F = 6.91; p = 0.011). Subsequent analyses took this difference into account.

Pubertal examination. All children completed a physical examination with Tanner’s staging (Marshall and Tanner, 1969, 1970). Experienced pediatric nurse practitioners conducted physical examinations for research purposes (Shirtcliff et al., 2009). The clinical examination for girls assessed breast development with brief palpation and visual examination of pubic hair. An orchidometer was used to measure testicular size in boys (Genetech, 1997), along with visual inspection of pubic hair. Interobserver reliability between the nurse practitioners (boys (Genentech, 1997), along with visual inspection of pubic hair, with Tanner’s staging (Marshall and Tanner, 1969, 1970). Experienced

Assessment of secondary psychopathology. To assess child psychopathology, parents completed the computerized Diagnostic Inventory for Children and Adolescents (DI-CA-P) (Reich, 2000) regarding their child. Responses to this computerized program were used to generate specific Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) IV diagnoses.

Interview methods. To assess psychological functioning, parents and children separately completed the Life-Stress Interview (LSI) (Rudolph and Hammen, 1999). This semi-structured interview assessed stressful life events of both chronic and episodic nature. Interviewers received intensive training from the developers (of the LSI) at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Children were interviewed regarding stressors in domains relevant to their lives, e.g., school, peer, and family. Parents were also asked about their child’s functioning within these various domains. Advanced graduate-level researchers conducted all interviews. A panel of 3–6 trained raters then scored the impact of each life event based on parent’s and child’s separate reports on a 5-point scale (1–5, from no or minimal impact to severe impact) using a scale developed by Rudolph and Hammen (1999). Increasing scores are related to more serious difficulties in each subdomain. For example, a score of 1 on the academic subscale is demonstrative of a child getting mostly A’s and doing above average work, while a score of 5 would be given with the failure of 3 or more subjects in 1 year and full-time placement in a learning disabilities class. Once parent and child reports were scored individually, a consensual report was made regarding the child by integrating information from both informants. The ratings of episodic stress between parent and child varied slightly across domain, but were significantly correlated, with r values >0.57, and p values <0.001 (LSI-academic r = 0.803, p < 0.001; LSI-behavioral r = 0.639, p < 0.001; LSI-peer r = 0.573, p < 0.001; LSI-family r = 0.698, p < 0.001). High reliability has previously been achieved for the interviews classification of stressful life events (intraclass correlation coefficients of 0.85) (Rudolph and Hammen, 1999; Rudolph et al., 2000).

MRI acquisition. High-resolution anatomical MRI images were obtained using a 3-Tesla GE SIGNA (General Electric Medical Systems) scanner with a quadrature head RF coil. A three-dimensional, inversion recovery (IR) pulse sequence was used to generate T1-weighted images with 128 axial slices (1.2 mm thick) covering the whole brain. Before MRI scanning, participants were oriented to the MRI through the use of a mock-MRI simulator. During MRI acquisition, participants were instructed to stay as still as possible and were able to watch a movie of their choosing.

Imaging processing and template creation. T1-weighted image were reconstructed via Analysis of Functional Neuroligms (Cox, 1996), converted to ANALYZE format, and corrected for field inhomogeneity via N3 (Sled et al., 1998). These images were then masked to include brain tissue and ventricular CSF. These masked images were used to construct an optimal, population-specific template, using a diffeomorphic shape and intensity averaging technique (Avants and Gee, 2004; Avants et al., 2008). The MRI template was study-specific, constructed based on all subjects, containing children who had suffered maltreatment and children who had not suffered such adverse early stress. Template construction consisted of a multiresolution strategy (for this study, a four level Gaussian pyramid) as well as the similarity metric for the optimization, along with a maximum number of iterations. We used the region-based cross-correlation similarity metric, which is optimal in dealing with locally varying inhomogeneity in the appearance of images. The maximum number of iterations in the normalization was set to 20, although convergence may occur before the maximum is reached. This processing yielded an unbiased average shape and appearance template, as well as the set of diffeomorphisms and inverse diffeomorphisms that map from template to each individual.

Symmetric diffeomorphic image normalization and tensor-based mor-phometry. After going through a 6-parameter rigid-body transformation, each individual brain was registered to our template using symmetric normalization (SyN). This algorithm allows for large deformations, but ensures that the deformation remains to be physically meaningful. The linear transformations resulting from the SyN algorithm also provide deformation tensor fields, defined in the optimal template space, that describe the voxelwise shape change from the template to each subject’s brain. Jacobian determinants of the deformation field indicate the fractional volume expansion and contraction at each voxel, quantifying the magnitude of regional volume alterations required to match the template. Before the statistical testing, this adjusted Jacobian map was subject to a log transformation to make the distribution closer to the normal distribution (Avants and Gee, 2004). Jacobian determinants were then smoothed with a 4 mm full-width, half-maximum Gaussian filter.

Statistical analysis. Voxelwise group t tests and whole brain regressions were next conducted in FMRISTAT (Worsley et al., 2002). Whole-brain volume and pubertal status were entered into a linear regression model as nuisance variables. An initial statistical threshold of t(30) = 2.6503, p = 0.005 uncorrected, was used in examining any possible brain differences. Signal above this threshold was then corrected using Gaussian random-field theory (Worsley et al., 2004) to limit type 1 error. Using this approach, regions needed to have a peak t > 2.6503 and an extent >284 voxels, which was equivalent to p ≤ 0.05 corrected.

In addition to examining group differences, voxelwise correlations were also conducted with scores from the LSI subscales. These analyses were only conducted within the abused samples, as significant group differences were detected on all of the LSI subscales. These correlations
were then used in a logical AND conjunction analysis to identify the brain regions that were different between groups and also related to functioning for the physically abused sample in various domains as assessed by the LSI. This analytical approach has been used in a number of research studies (Nichols et al., 2006); such an approach is a straightforward way to find brain regions different between groups and also correlated with behavioral outcomes, with minimal statistics.

Group differences and correlation with the LSI were both thresholded at $p = 0.005$, uncorrected (e.g., LSI correlation threshold $t_{290} = 3.047$) and combined to see any overlapping regions. Brain group differences from the first step of our analyses at $p = 0.05$ corrected were used to mask these logical AND conjunction analyses. In addition, a minimum cluster-threshold of 5 voxels or greater was set for all logical AND conjunctions to further minimize false-positives. Assuming independence of this test, these results are significant at $0.000025$, $(0.005^5 \times 0.005)$, uncorrected. The peak coordinates for all analyses were mapped to MNI space by registering the custom template to the MNI152 Average Template (Montreal Neurological Institute, Montreal, Canada) as presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3, to allow comparison from other studies.

## Results

### Brain measurements

As hypothesized, one of the largest differences between abused and nonabused children was in the right oFC, where those who had experienced physical abuse had smaller brain volumes (extent $= 1.925 \text{ cm}^3$, $p < 0.001$ corrected, peak $r$ cluster $= 3.93$). To ensure specificity of this result, this finding is corrected for individual differences in the overall sizes of children’s brains (whole-brain volume) and each child’s pubertal status. Tables 1 and 2 report other brain regions where victims of abuse differed from controls.

Compared with nonmaltreated control subjects, subjects who had been victims of physical abuse showed volume alterations in numerous areas throughout the brain. Table 1 details regions where significantly smaller volumes were found in children who had been victims of abuse. In brief, smaller volumes in physically abused subjects were noted in the right temporal, right frontal, and bilateral parietal lobes. Within the right temporal lobe, the greatest differences were noted in the middle and inferior portions, while in the frontal lobe, large changes were noted in the orbital frontal and dorsolateral-prefrontal cortices. Additionally, major alterations were noted bilaterally in the thalamus, with the greatest difference in the left thalamus. Table 2 reports the brain regions where significant larger volumes for physically abused children (compared with typically developing nonmaltreated children) were noted. Larger volumes for physically abused subjects were specifically found in the right posterior cingulate and in

### Table 1. Brain regions: physically abused < control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Cluster size (1 mm voxels)</th>
<th>$p$ value (corrected via random field theory)</th>
<th>Peak within cluster</th>
<th>Approximate coordinates in MNI space ($x, y, z$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right middle temporal lobe</td>
<td>2129</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>$+54, -8, +18$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right orbitofrontal cortex</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>$+34, +35, -8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right inferior temporal lobe/temporal pole</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>$+49, +7, -26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral thalamus</td>
<td>1354</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>$-4, -9, +5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right parietal lobe</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>$+51, -27, +25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right posterior parietal lobe</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>$+30, -56, +38$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right dorsolateral PFC</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>$+16, +52, -42$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ventral-medial PFC</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>$+10, +53, -22$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right inferior temporal lobe/temporal pole</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>$+33, +14, -38$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left inferior temporal lobe/temporal pole</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>$-36, +7, -36$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior frontal lobe</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>$-22, -1, +68$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right frontal cortex</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>$+59, +9, +32$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left dorsolateral PFC</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>$-16, +42, +45$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>$-63, -18, -19$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parietal cortex</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>$-49, -31, +14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior temporal</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>$+49, -28, +2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left vermis</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>$p = 0.025$</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>$-6, -67, -43$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left postcentral</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>$p = 0.045$</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>$-59, -22, +46$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Brain regions: physically abused > control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Cluster size (1 mm voxels)</th>
<th>$p$ value (corrected via random field theory)</th>
<th>Peak within cluster</th>
<th>Approximate coordinates in MNI space ($x, y, z$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right occipital lobe</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>$+39, -82, +30$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right cingulate</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>$+4, -17, +51$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left cerebellar white matter</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>$-26, -38, -42$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left frontal white matter</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>$-17, +39, +28$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left lateral cerebellum</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>$p = 0.003$</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>$-47, -48, -30$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right cerebellum white matter</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>$p = 0.037$</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>$+26, -53, -36$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Additional results from logical AND conjunction analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Logical AND conjunction of</th>
<th>Cluster size (1 mm voxels)</th>
<th>Approximate coordinates in MNI space ($x, y, z$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left parietal lobe</td>
<td>physically abused &lt; control group brain differences AND negative correlation LSI academic</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>$-58, -22, +44$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right temporal lobe</td>
<td>physically abused &lt; control group brain differences AND negative correlation LSI academic</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$+51, -30, +1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right cingulate</td>
<td>physically abused &gt; control group brain differences AND positive correlation LSI academic</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$+88, +107, +123$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left prefrontal white matter</td>
<td>physically abused &gt; control group brain differences AND positive correlation LSI behavioral</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$+104, +169, +99$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p$ value for all clusters, $p < 0.000025$, uncorrected.
are regarding objective, yet contextually relevant indices of chronic stress.

**Relationships between oFC and social functioning**

To examine relationships between the oFC development and children’s behavioral functioning, we used logical AND conjunction analyses to investigate whether a particular brain area that is different between groups is also the same area that is related to behavioral variables within the physically abused group alone (Friston et al., 1999). This approach is described in Materials and Methods, Statistical analysis. As hypothesized, smaller volumes in the right oFC observed in the physically abused children predicted problems in children’s functioning (all regions, \( p < 0.000025 \), uncorrected). The partial correlation between oFC volume and the family stress scale was \( r = -0.516, p = 0.004 \). Greater difficulties in the child-parent relationship, such as more frequent arguments and less emotional support, were associated with smaller oFC volumes (Fig. 2a). The partial correlation between oFC volume and children’s experience of academic problems/school stress was \( r = -0.504, p = 0.005 \) (Fig. 2b). Greater academic difficulties, such as failure of 3 or more subjects in 1 year and full-time placement in a learning disabilities class, were associated with smaller oFC volumes. Both of these correlations controlled for variation between individual children in whole-brain volume and pubertal status.

**Relationships between neuropsychological functioning and brain measurements**

CANTAB data were only available for 41 total subjects (14 physically abused; 27 nonmaltreated controls). To test this subset of participants did not differ from the full sample in any systematic way, we dummy coded CANTAB data availability and entered this into a linear regression as an independent variable to test for relationship between other variables of interest, which were entered as dependent variables. CANTAB data availability was not related to SES, as indexed by maternal education, \( (p = 0.170) \) or OFC volume \( (p = 0.864) \). To test whether neuropsychological functioning was related to the differences in orbitofrontal volumes found across group, we constructed a factor measure of neuropsychological performance, which included the Intra/Extra Dimensional Set-Shift, Paired Associates Learning, Pattern Recognition Memory, Stockings of Cambridge, and Spatial Working Memory CANTAB subtests. This factor measure was computed for each subject by averaging the individual z-scores output from each test and then was entered into a linear regression equation. No significant association between orbitofrontal cortex and neuropsychological functioning was found \( (p = 0.72) \) and maltreatment group remained significantly related to orbitofrontal volume \( (p < 0.001) \).

**Relationships between socioeconomic status and brain measurements**

To test whether the differences seen in orbitofrontal volumes across group were related to socioeconomic status (SES), years of maternal
education, a commonly used proxy of SES, was entered into a linear regression, with orbitofrontal volumes as a dependent variable and group and years of maternal education as the independent variables. No significant association emerged between orbitofrontal cortex and SES ($p = 0.90$), and maltreatment group remained significant related to Orbitofrontal Volume after controlling for SES ($p = 0.034$). We repeated these regressions using every index of socioeconomic status available to us, including Median Household Income and Median Family Income from U.S. Census Tract data; in all cases the relationship between maltreatment group and orbitofrontal volume remained significant while the relationship between SES variables and orbitofrontal volume was not significant.

Relationships between other brain structures and life stress

Regions in addition to the oFC were different between groups and related to behavioral functioning (Table 3). For academic functioning, the smaller volumes in left parietal lobe and right temporal lobe seen initially in physically abused children displayed a significant negative relationship with functioning, with smaller volumes seen with increasing problems in this domain. Larger volumes for the physically abused sample in the Right Cingulate displayed a significant positive relationship with impaired functioning in the academic domain, with larger volumes seen with greater functional impairments. In the Behavioral domain, larger white matter volumes in left PFC were positively correlated with functioning in the broad behavioral domain as assessed by the Life-Stress Interview with greater volumes in the brain region related to increasing problems.

Discussion

Our findings indicate that oFC volumes are smaller in children who have suffered early aberrant parental care in the form of physical abuse, and that these volumetric alterations are associated with difficulties children experience in various aspects of their social lives. The oFC is a key component of a circuit that aids in adaptation to changing environmental contingencies and plays an important role in the control of emotion and motivational states. The structural alterations that we observed in abused children’s oFC may lead to functional difficulties for these children, compromising their abilities to navigate in and adaptively regulate to changing social contexts.

The data reported here are consistent with studies of rodents and primates that indicate that early stress affects development of the PFC (Arnsten et al., 2009). Moreover, previous research examining neurologically-damaged patients with oFC lesions indicate that damage to this brain region has been linked with deficits in emotion, mood, and social regulation (Damasio, 1994). Although previous neuroimaging investigations have noted structural brain differences among maltreated children (De Bellis et al., 1999, 2002; Richert et al., 2006; Carrion et al., 2009), extant studies have not found robust connections between brain and behavior. This may be due to the fact that previous studies have tested samples of children who experienced maltreatment but who also had concurrent psychiatric conditions such as posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). In the physically abused group in this study, two children met DSM IV criteria for conduct disorder, two others met criteria for an eating disorder, and one child had experienced a major depressive episode previously. In addition, children who suffered physical abuse reported more problems in all the domains assayed by the LSI (Fig. 1, shown). As recently reviewed by Gilbert et al. (2009), child maltreatment such as physical abuse, has long-lasting effects on many diverse facets of mental health, drug and alcohol misuse, risky sexual behavior, obesity, and criminal behavior. Therefore, we did not wish to limit the study to individuals with one discrete disorder.

Another challenge faced by previous investigations is that children’s brains are difficult to measure. Methodologically, our study design used a rigorous analytic approach, TBM, with information generated from a powerful registration algorithm, SyN (Avants and Gee, 2004). In a recent comparison of 14 nonlinear registration algorithms, SyN emerged as one of the best available warping algorithms (Klein et al., 2009). This approach represents an important advance because other analytic approaches for structural imaging data, such as parcellation or voxel-based morphometry, may especially limit studies of children’s brain development. Parcellation divides the brain into large zones, but in doing so, aggregates functionally divergent brain regions together, each of which may be differentially involved in behavioral outcomes (Gazzaniga et al., 2008). Voxel-based morphometry (VBM), a commonly used approach, may be less reliable with pediatric populations because of the use of adult anatomical templates and spatial normalization parameters (Bookstein, 2001; Wilke et al., 2003). Special care, such as creation of a study-specific template and use of appropriate a priori segments, should be taken with VBM processing to address these issues (Peper et al., 2009). The approach we employ here, TBM, minimizes these sources of variability (e.g., brain tissue segmentation), uses a study-specific anatomical template and yields high sensitivity at the voxel level.

Of important note, the structural imaging study presented here has aspects of its design that potentially limit the interpretation of results. Neurobiological research with high-risk samples, such as the maltreated children, is challenging due to potential third variables that could affect brain development or other biological variables. For example, a proportion of the brain differences reported could be due to genetic effects. Behavioral genetics research in the area of child maltreatment however underscores the limited effects of genetic factors; instead this body of research underscores a critical role for early postnatal experience in the outcomes of abused children (Pollak, 2008; Kim-Cohen and Gold, 2009). In addition, we did not have access to the health records of parents or children, making it difficult to rule out the effects of exposure to teratogens. Importantly, prenatal alcohol exposure and broad neuropsychological differences were controlled for in this sample, supporting our interpretation of the data and specificity of the effect. Also, we did not have information regarding the time course of maltreatment in this sample. Individual differences exist in severity and chronicity of maltreatment, along with access to psychotherapeutic services after an abuse episode. This information would aid in understanding the effects of early adversity on brain–behavior relationships, as the characteristics of maltreatment has been linked with differential psychological outcomes (Manly et al., 1994).

Regions in addition to the oFC were different between groups and related to behavioral functioning (Table 3). These findings may have important implications for brain development and understanding the neurobiological correlates of maltreatment. Additional research is needed to understand how these other regions may be related to the trajectory of risk conveyed by physical abuse.

By specifically linking child maltreatment to focal neuroanatomical alterations and those alterations to behavioral changes, our results suggest one potential mechanism, structural changes in the oFC, through which child maltreatment may put individuals at greater risk for a variety of behavioral problems throughout their lives. This brain region supports the monitoring of
behavioral outcomes (Kringelbach and Rolls, 2004) and regulation of affective states (Levesque et al., 2004; Ohira et al., 2006; Urry et al., 2006)—domains of behavior frequently affected in children who have been physically abused (Brown, 2003). Importantly, early dysfunction of the oFC may not become apparent until adolescence or early adulthood, when this brain region becomes fully functional (Bachevalier and Loveland, 2006). This may account for why some behavioral problems in previously maltreated individuals do not manifest in early childhood. Further study is needed to more fully understand the brain-behavior effects of early postnatal social experience. One limitation of our design is that the results are based upon one time point, therefore we cannot discern whether oFC development is slowed or significantly delayed. For this reason, we refer to “differences” or “alterations” in the describing our findings. As a next step, functional neuroimaging, in conjunction with anatomical measurement, may be used to examine how structural variation in the oFC might be related to variations in functional connectivity between the oFC and other brain regions that convey behavioral risk from child abuse. Arnsten (2009) theorized that chronic stress, such as maltreatment, may weaken the regulatory abilities of structures that provide negative feedback on the stress response (such as the PFC), but also bolster the structures that promote the stress response, such as the amygdala. To date, there is little known about molecular stress-signaling mechanisms likely to be related to morphological alterations of the PFC; one candidate might be alterations of catecholamine pathways and increasing noradrenergic innervation of the PFC leading to higher levels of phosphatidylinositol-protein kinase C and cyclic adenosine monophosphate signaling (Arnsten, 2009). Preliminary research (De Bellis et al., 1994) as found alterations in catecholamine excretion in a small sample of abused girls, providing initial support for alterations in this stress-signaling cascade. Future studies could combine these types of physiological metrics with structural neuroimaging using a design similar to the one used here.

Overall, children who were victims of physical abuse had alterations in oFC and these alterations were related to functioning in different domains of behavior. This finding suggests a potential neurobiological mechanism through which early adverse experiences constitute risks for children’s cognitive and emotional development.
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Supplementary Table 1.

**Demographic Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Physically-Abused</th>
<th>Non-Abused</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>144.12 (+/-1.97)</td>
<td>140.46 (+/-2.15)</td>
<td>F=.548, p=.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Months</td>
<td>Months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pubertal Stage</strong></td>
<td>1.758 (+/-1.0791)</td>
<td>1.354 (+/-1.1401)</td>
<td>F=2.325, p=.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whole Brain Volume</strong></td>
<td>1387.57 (+134.26) voxels</td>
<td>1466.98 (+121.09) voxels</td>
<td>F=.6.91, p=.011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td>19 Male, 12 Female</td>
<td>26 Male, 15 Female</td>
<td>(\chi^2=.034, p=.834)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>